

FOOD AND SOCIETY **PROCEEDINGS**

CARDIFF 27 - 28TH JUNE 2019



11th International Conference on Culinary Arts and Sciences

EDITED BY

Adriana Snae, Claire Haven-Tang, Darryl Gibbs & Caroline Ritchie

Cardiff Met
MetCaerdydd

GERVALOR: Valorization of Food Waste in a Hospital Food Service Unit

José Carvalho¹, Emanuel Novo¹, Rosalina Telo¹, Vanda Silva¹, Sílvia Vara², António Dias², Márcia Gonçalves², Bruno Vitoriano³ and João PM Lima^{4,5,6}

¹*Gertal - Companhia Geral de Restaurantes e Alimentação*, ²*ISQ - Instituto e Soldadura e Qualidade*, ³*Labiagro - Laboratório Químico, Agroalimentar e Microbiológico*,

⁴*Escola Superior Tecnologia da Saúde de Coimbra – Instituto Politécnico de Coimbra*,

⁵*LAQV-Requimte*, ⁶*GreenUPorto*

Background

In the food sector, waste is a major social, nutritional and environmental issue, affecting the sustainability of the food chain as a whole. In the EU alone, we waste 90 million tons of food every year, i.e. 180 kg per person (1). Moreover, the growing concerns about hunger, preservation of the environment and the economic crisis have raised public awareness of food waste (2, 3). As several authors now assert, there is a need to investigate the social and environmental implications of waste at different stages of the supply chain. Indeed, food waste has an impact on food security, on food quality and safety, on economic development and on the environment (4). Some of the wasted products simply cannot be consumed, as they are not fit for human consumption and thus they must be considered “losses” in all respects. Instead, a part of the wasted products is still suitable for human consumption (5). Halving this edible waste is one of the goals in the Roadmap to a Resource-Efficient Europe, not least because the food value chain in the EU is responsible for 17% of Europe's direct greenhouse gas emissions and 28% of its material resource use (1). In order to prevent and reduce food wastage, the European Parliament declared 2014 the European Year against Food Waste, inspired by Last Minute Market¹ and its campaign “One year against waste”. The literature on food waste has so far focused on the quantification of the total food losses along the supply chain (2, 6, 7) to highlight the negative implications of this phenomenon as well as its impact on the whole food system as a whole (8). However, the retail stage has long been neglected by such studies, although its contribution in limiting the implication of food waste might be consistent and, at the same time, sustainability is becoming an important business issue for retailers, as their practices may influence the whole supply chain process and its economic, environmental and social consequences (9).

Staggering amounts of food waste thus generate enormous energy, chemical and material potentials due to the functionalized molecules stored in them (10). The idea of harvesting high value-added products from food waste streams aligns with the current concept of sustainable development, which aims to achieve food security, environmental protection, and energy efficiency. Collective efforts have been made in the recent years to exploit food waste as a bio resource for our next generation of energy, chemical, pharmaceutical, cosmetic, food and other high value-added products (11). GERTAL is the largest

Portuguese company in the catering sector, serving in Portugal 61.3 million meals in the year 2016. These meals represented a volume of purchases of 40,000 tons of raw material food, which corresponds to a cost of € 60,475,906, which after being introduced into the chain generate approximately 7,854 Ton of food waste in the year 2016.

GERValor project - Valorisation of Organic Food Waste – intends to allow GERTAL, a Portuguese food service company, sign in the objectives of the European Plan for the Circular Economy and fight against food waste. Through this project, GERTAL enables contribute to the development of a pioneering strategy for the recovery of waste and reducing food waste in Portugal, thus encouraging the reduction of barriers to the entry of technologies and business models for the sector.

Objective

To characterize food waste in hospital food unit and identify it possible sub products. Additionally, analysis of environmental impact of meals production, with and without food waste valorisation.

Methods

The evaluation of food waste was conducted in a hospital food unit selected by convenience attending the fact that health sector is the one with great amounts of food waste. The food unit selected serves on average 2.870 meals a day and 1.062.100 meals by year. Food waste produced was evaluated during 14 days, without any connection with the planned menus. Food waste was separated in Cereals and tubers (pasta, rice and potatoes), bread, mixed meals, meat, fish, pimples / bones, soup, fruit and vegetable and milk. Food waste was monitored in cafeterias, during meal preparation, and after service of meals. The quantification of the food waste was carried out by weighing the components of the meal. A calibrated balance (maximum 100 kg, minimum 1Kg and precision of 30g) to weigh the total amount of food produced, plate waste and leftovers. Selective aggregate weighing method was used.

This method involves weighing the aggregate food by type of food. Food remains from ingestion, for all the individuals, are separated into different containers according to the type of food. The average value of the waste, per food item and per meal, is determined by dividing of the overall value of wastage found by the number of subjects who ate the meal (12). To identify possible sub-products of food waste characterized in the unit under analysis, bromatologic analysis was conducted. For this analysis, a sample of food waste before and after food production was selected randomly. Sciantec Analytical Services carried out Bromatological analysis. Environmental impact of meals production was analysed through Life Cycle Analysis.

Results

Food Waste Characterization

During the period under analysis, was observed an average of 22% of food waste by meal produced.

Table 1 – Plate waste according different distribution options by food group

| Unit | Kg | | | | | | | L |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Food waste | Cereals and Tubers | Bread | Mixed Meals | Meat | Fish | Soup | F&V | Milk |
| Served in tray | 1560.83 | 153.14 | 671.34 | 460.47 | 311.14 | 2000.88 | 1547.39 | 1716.00 |
| Served in Cafeteria | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 426.51 | 0.00 |
| Self-line | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 135.74 | 61.21 | 0.00 | 478.16 | 0.00 |
| Total/food group | 1567.83 | 153.14 | 671.34 | 596.21 | 372.34 | 2000.88 | 2452.06 | 1716.00 |
| Total | 9530 | | | | | | | |
| N.º of meals produced | 42484 | | | | | | | |
| % Food Waste by meal | 22% | | | | | | | |

Differences in meals components were observed before and after production waste. As shown in table 2 the waste after production is higher than before.

Table 2 – Food waste before and after food production

| | Before | | After | |
|-----------------------|--------|-----|--------|-----|
| | Kg | % | Kg | % |
| Meat | 135.74 | 20 | 105.85 | 16 |
| Fish | 61.21 | 9 | | |
| F&V | 478.16 | 71 | 80.75 | 12 |
| Carbohydrates Sources | - | - | 226.65 | 34 |
| Soup | - | - | 146.7 | 22 |
| Mixed meals | - | - | 105.85 | 16 |
| Total | 675 | 100 | 11836 | 100 |

Bromatological analysis of food waste

Composition analysis samples is vital to assess their potential valorisation applications. As shown in table 3, food waste samples have high moisture content, moderate levels of sugars and starch, low ash contents and low gross energy values. Differences between composition items under analysis sample before and after food production were observed, being the sample after food production globally richer to valorisation.

Table 3 – Bromatological Analysis of Food Waste

| | Before food production | After food production |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Total oil (%) | <0.30 | 0.60 |
| Crude fibre content (%) | 0.80 | 0.90 |
| Ash (%) | 0.90 | 0.70 |
| Protein content (%) | 1.20 | 1.90 |
| Starch content (%) | 3.00 | 0.60 |
| Sugar content (as sucrose) (%) | 1.13 | 4.79 |
| Gross energy (MJ/kg) | 1.40 | 2.24 |
| Calcium (%) | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| Sodium (%) | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Magnesium (%) | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Copper (mg/kg) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Iron (mg/kg) | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Manganese (mg/kg) | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| Zinc (mg/kg) | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Potassium (%) | 0.28 | 0.21 |
| Phosphorous (%) | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| pH | 6.20 | 4.80 |
| Moisture content (%) | 91.80 | 87.40 |
| Gas yield (m³/tonne of fresh matter) | 40.60 | 65.90 |
| Total methane content (%) | 53.90 | 54.10 |

Possible routes of valorisation

Taking into consideration the composition and properties of food waste sample under analysis, various valorisation routes could be identified. A biorefinery is a facility for the total conversion of biomass material or waste into fuels, power, chemicals, materials, bio-based polymers and fibers. The biorefinery concept takes advantages of biomass components and intermediates to maximise the value derived from these materials. To be economically viable, it is important to produce a range of different products to maximise the value of the biomass feedstock, open new markets and reduce the cost of installation. Food waste under analysis seems to be a suitable feedstock for biorefineries as it can contain considerable amounts of carbohydrates, protein and oil, as shown in table 3. Possible routes of valorisation are presented in table 4.

Table 4 – Possible routes of valorisation of food waste identified

| | | Potential Usage | Constrains |
|--|--|---|--|
| Applications in the food industry | Animal (livestock and pet) feed | a) Carbohydrates and Proteins from food waste used as ingredient in livestock feed b) Incorporated into pet feed(13) | a) EU legislation(10, 14-16) b) Low starch and protein content c) Variability of food waste d) High moisture content e) Cost of technological process prior to |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | incorporation process(17) |
| | Processing into flour | a) Drying and grinding into a fine powder (“flour”) that can be incorporated as a functional ingredient in a variety of food products(18, 19) | a) Variability of food waste b) High moisture content c) Cost of technological process prior to incorporation process(18) |
| Anaerobic digestion | Biogas (biomethane) | a) Heat b) Electricity(20) | a) Depends on biomethane potential of food waste samples(21) |
| | Digestate | a) Fertiliser | |
| | Volatile fatty acids (VFA) | a) High content in organic matter, carbon and nutrients used in the production of VFA(22, 23) | a) Further studies would be required to determine the most promising conditions to produce VFA(24) |
| Production of energy and fuels | Production of biohydrogen | a) Produced from waste biomass(25) | a) Uptake of hydrogen fuels cells remains low. b) pH c) Moisture content(26) |
| | Production of biohythane | a) Sugar content of mixed samples could be promising to usage of food waste to biohythane production.(27) | a) Pre-treatment b) Scale-up(27) |
| | Production of bioethanol | a) Produced from biomass materials | a) Pre-treatment(24) b) Low content of starch in food waste samples c) Storage processes of food waste |
| | Production of biobutanol | a) Solvent b) Extraction agent c) Supplement d) Eluent e) Biofuel(24) | a) Low content of starch in food waste samples b) Low yields(28) |
| | Production of biodiesel | a) Fuel produced from natural biological sources. | a) Variability of food waste(29) |

| | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | Pyrolysis and gasification | a) Non-condensables b) Bio-oil c) Bio-char(30) | a) High moisture content |
| | Combustion | a) Produce energy through biomass(31) | a) High moisture content b) Low calorific value |
| Extraction of high value products | Bioactive compounds | a) Incorporated as functional ingredients in a variety of industry products(32, 33) | a) Variability of food waste |
| | Proteins | a) Extract protein as bio component(33) | a) Variability of food waste composition |
| Production of chemicals and other materials | Bioplastics | a) Polymers production from renewable materials(24, 29) | a) Further studies needed to analyse economic viability of this process(34) |
| | Succinic acid | a) Interesting applications in food, chemical and pharmaceutic industries | a) Production costs b) Operability for the large-scale fermentation(35) |
| | Butanediol | a) Chemical component used in a variety of manufacturing industries b) As precursor for the production of various industrially-relevant compounds | a) Pre-treatment for some food products(36) |
| | Industrial enzymes | a) Biological catalysts that can be used in a variety of manufacturing industries(37) | a) Production cost(37) |
| Other applications | Composting | a) Compost production(24) | a) Moisture content b) Variability of food waste |
| | Landspreading | a) Non-chemical fertiliser | a) EU and PT legislation(38) |

Limitations of food waste to valorisation

The mixed nature of food waste and variation in sample composition due to foodstuff availability, market trends and demands will have major impact on the potential of the valorisation routes. Additionally, the high moisture content observed in samples may reduce the potential valorisation applications. Furthermore, the high organic matter content of food waste may pose additional challenges in terms of storage and transportation.

Environmental impact of meals production

Meals production have different environmental impact if considering the valorisation of food waste.

Table 5 – Comparison of environmental impacts with and without food waste valorisation

| Environmental Impact | Unit | Without Food Waste Valorisation | With Food Waste valorisation |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Climate change | Kg CO ₂ eq | 307.9283 | 123.1114 |
| Ozone depletion | Kg CFC -11 eq | 3.19E-07 | -3.14E-06 |
| Terrestrial acidification | Kg SO ₂ eq | 0.0421 | -6.4782 |
| Freshwater eutrophication | Kg P eq | 0.2240 | -0.0340 |
| Marine eutrophication | Kg N eq | -0.0017 | -0.2837 |
| Human toxicity | Kg 1,4-DB eq | -0.4338 | -15.5839 |
| Photochemical oxidant formation | Kg NMVOC | 0.2002 | -0.0656 |
| Particulate matter formation | Kg PM ₁₀ eq | 0.1144 | -0.7716 |
| Terrestrial ecotoxicity | Kg 1,4-DB eq | -0.1510 | -0.1555 |
| Freshwater ecotoxicity | Kg 1,4-DB q | -0.0362 | -6.4545 |
| Marine ecotoxicity | Kg 1,4-DB eq | -0.0143 | -5.5042 |
| Ionising radiation | KBq U235 eq | 0.5993 | 1.8037 |
| Agricultural land occupation | m ² a | -2.6543 | 42.5119 |
| Urban land occupation | m ² a | -0.0571 | -0.4271 |
| Natural land transformation | m ² | 0.0089 | 0.0106 |
| Water depletion | m ³ | 0.0051 | 1.1087 |
| Metal depletion | Kg Fe eq | 0.2464 | -3.8147 |
| Fossil depletion | Kg oil eq | 4.1634 | 1.2912 |

Conclusion

This study shown a high level of food waste and demonstrate that valorisation of food waste is possible through some routes and that valorisation have a positive environmental impact. Further studies are needed to understand which is the best model to implement valorisation of food waste in food service unit. The GERValor project is studding valued solution according to Gertal's business model. Defined solutions could be allow a great potential of replicability in other companies that work in the food sector.

Acknowledgements: Authors acknowledge financial support from the Portuguese Environmental Fund.

References

- 1.(EC) EC. Roadmap to a resource efficient Europe.: White Paper from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and Committee of the Regions, COM; 2011a. p. 571 Final.
- 2.Buzby JC, Hyman, J. Total and per capita value of food loss in the United States. Food Policy. 2012;37:561-70.
- 3.Kantor L, Lipton, K., Manchester, A., Oliveira, V. Estimating and addressing America's food losses. Food Rev. 1997;20:2-12.
- 4.Gustavsson J, Cederberg, C., Sonesson, U. Global food losses and food waste. Gothenburg, Sweden: FAO, 2011.
- 5.Segrè A, Falasconi, L. Il libro nero dello spreco in Italia: il cibo. Milano: Edizione Ambiente; 2011.
- 6.Parfitt J, Barthel, M., Macnaughton, S. Food waste within food supply chains: quantification and potential for change to 2050. Philos T Roy Soc B. 2010;365(1554):3065-81.
- 7.Griffin M, Sobal, J., Lyson, T.A. An analysis of a community food waste stream. Agric Hum Values. 2009;26(1-2):67-81.
- 8.Sonnino R, McWilliam, S. Food waste, catering practices and public procurement: a case study of hospital food systems in Wales. Food Policy. 2011;36(6):823-9.
- 9.Claro DP, Neto, S.A.L. de Oliveira Claro, P.B. Sustainability drivers in food retail. J Retail Consum Serv. 2013;20(3):365-71.
- 10.Lin CSK, Pfaltzgraff, L.A., Herrero-Davila, L., Mubofu, E.B., Abderrahim, S., Clark, J.H., Koutinas, A.A., Kopsahelis, N., Stamatelatos, K., Dickson, F., Thankappan, S., Mohamed, Z., Brocklesby, R., Luque, R. Food waste as a valuable resource for the production of chemicals, materials and fuels. Current situation and global perspective. Energy Environ Sci. 2013;6(2):426-64.
- 11.Communication from the commission to the committee and the committee of the regions.: European Commission; 2012. Available from: http://ec.europa.eu/research/bioeconomy/pdf/official-strategy_en.pdf.
- 12.Comstock EMS, Mackiernan, YD. Measuring individual plate waste in school lunches: Visual estimation and children's ratings vs. actual weighing of plate waste. J Am Diet Assoc. 1981;79:290-6.
- 13.Esteban MB, et al. Evaluation of fruit-vegetable and fish wastes as alternative feedstuffs in pig diets. Waste Management. 2007;27(2):193-200.
- 14.REGULATION (EC) No 1831/2003 OF THE PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 22 September 2003 on additives for use in animal nutrition.
- 15.Directive 2002/32/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 May 2002 on undesirable substances in animal feed - Council Statement 2002.
- 16.COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 2008/38/EC of 5 March 2008 establishing a list of intended uses of animal feedingstuffs for particular nutritional purposes, (2008).
- 17.Innovation opportunities to add value to unavoidable food manufacturing waste in Yorkshire and the Humber. Biorenewables Development Centre; 2018.

18. Plazzotta S, Manzocco L., Nicoli M.C. Fruit and vegetable waste management and the challenge of fresh-cut salads Trends in Food Science & Technology 2015;52(2):822-30.
19. Ferreira MSLea. Formulation and characterization of functional foods based on fruit and vegetable residue flour. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2015;52(2):822-30.
20. Eiroa M, et al. Evaluation of biomethane potential of solid fish waste. Waste Management. 2012;32(7):1347-52.
21. Jingura RM, Kamusoko, R. Methods for determination of biomethane potential of feedstocks: a review. Biofuel Research Journal. 2017;4(2):573-86.
22. Liu N, et al. Effects of salt and oil concentrations on volatile fatty acids generation in food waste fermentation. Renewable Energy. 2017;113(Supplement C):1523-8.
23. Wang K, et al. Anaerobic digestion of food waste for volatile fatty acids (VFAs) production with different types of inoculum: Effect of pH. Bioresource Technology. 2014;161(Supplement C):395-401.
24. Dahiya S, et al. Food waste biorefinery: Sustainable strategy for circular bioeconomy. Bioresource Technology. 2017.
25. Yasin NHM, et al. Food waste and food processing waste for biohydrogen production: A review. Journal of Environmental Management. 2013;130(Supplement C):375-85.
26. Pasupuleti SB, Sarkar, O., Ventaka Mohan, S. Upscaling of biohydrogen production process in semi-pilot scale biofilm reactor: Evaluation with food waste at variable organic loads. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. 2014;39(14):7587-96.
27. Roy S, Das, D. Biohythane production from organic wastes: present state of art. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2016;23(10):9391-410.
28. Hegde S, Lodge, J.S., Trabold, T.A. Characteristics of food processing wastes and their use in sustainable alcohol production. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2018;81(510-523).
29. Girotto F, Alibardi, L., Cossu, R. Food waste generation and industrial uses: a review. Waste Management. 2015;45(Supplement C):32-41.
30. Czajczynska D, et al. Potential of pyrolysis processes in the waste management sector. Thermal Science and Engineering Progress. 2017;3(Supplement C):171-97.
31. Caton PA, et al. Energy recovery from waste food by combustion or gasification with the potential for regenerative dehydration: A case study. Energy Conversion and Management. 2010;51(6):1157-69.
32. Kumar K, et al. Food waste: a potential bioresource for extraction of nutraceuticals and bioactive compounds. Bioresources and Bioprocessing. 2017;4(1):18.
33. Socaci SA, et al. food wastes as valuable sources of bioactive molecules. Superfood and Functional Food. 2017.
34. Rodriguez-Perez S, et al. Challenges of scaling-up PHA production from waste streams: a review. Journal of Environmental Management. 2018;205:215-30.
35. Lam KF, et al. Economic feasibility of a pilot-scale fermentative succinic acid production from bakery wastes. Food and Bioprocess Processing. 2014;92(3):282-90.

36. Liakou V, et al. Valorisation of fruit and vegetables waste from open markets for the production of 2,3-butanediol. *Food and Bioproducts Processing*. 2018;108:27-36.
37. Ravindran R, JAiswal, A.K. Microbial enzyme production using lignocellulosic food industry wastes as feedstock: a review. *Bioengineering*. 2016;3(4):30.
38. Directive 2008/

